UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Western District of Texas Austin Division

RICHARD PALMER,	§	
Plaintiff	§	CASE NUMBER: 1:21-CV-00088
	§	
vs.	§	
	§	
FEDERAL RECOVERY SERVICES,	§	
INC.	§	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
doing business as	§	
PARAMOUNT ACCEPTANCE	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff Richard Palmer sues for claims under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.*, and the Texas Debt Collection Act ("TDCA"), Tex. Fin. Code Chapter 392, to obtain statutory damages, injunctive relief, costs and a reasonable attorney's fee for the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA and the TDCA.

VENUE

2. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, because the acts and transactions occurred in this district and because the Defendants transact business in this district.

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff Richard Palmer ("Palmer") is an individual who resides in Travis County,
 Texas.
- 4. Defendant Federal Recovery Services, Inc. ("FRS") is a company organized and existing under the laws of Utah. FRS may be served by serving its registered agent at the following address:

Peter A. Klc and Associates, PLLC

4725 S. Holladay Blvd., Ste. 210 Salt Lake City, UT 84117

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. Palmer allegedly incurred a debt for gym membership and fitness training.
- 6. The gym membership was for personal, nonbusiness use.
- 7. Palmer did not pay the alleged debt and it went into default.
- 8. The alleged debt was placed with FRS, doing business as Paramount Acceptance, for collections.
 - 9. FRS describes itself as providing collection services.¹
 - 10. FRS likes "hunting people down for cash[.]"²
 - 11. FRS regularly attempts to collect debts owed to someone else.
 - 12. FRS attempts to collect using letters and emails.
 - 13. FRS attempted to collect the alleged debt from Palmer.
 - 14. FRS emailed Palmer in an attempt to collect.
- 15. FRS does not have on file with the Texas Secretary of State a \$10,000 bond in favor of any person damaged by FRS's violation of Chapter 392 of the Texas Finance Code.

COUNT I. VIOLATION OF TDCA § 392.101(a)

- 16. Plaintiff re-alleges the above paragraphs as if set forth fully in this count.
- 17. Section 101(a) of the TDCA states:
- (a) A third-party debt collector or credit bureau may not engage in debt collection unless the third-party debt collector or credit bureau has obtained a surety bond issued by a surety company

¹ See https://paramountacceptance.com/collections/

 $^{^{2}}$ Id.

authorized to do business in this state as prescribed by this section. A copy of the bond must be filed with the secretary of state.

- 18. FRS engaged in debt collection without a bond on file with the Texas Secretary of State and therefore violated § 392.101(a).
 - 19. Palmer seeks not less than \$100 for each violation of TDCA § 101(a).

COUNTS II. VIOLATIONS OF FDCPA § 1692g

- 20. Plaintiff re-alleges the above paragraphs as if set forth fully in this count.
- 21. FRS's written communications with Palmer failed to contain the notices required by § 1692g(a)(3) (4).

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

- 22. Plaintiff requests this Court award him:
 - a. Statutory damages;
 - b. Injunctive relief;
 - c. Costs; and
 - d. A reasonable attorney's fee.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury.

Respectfully Submitted, By: <u>s/Tyler Hickle</u> Plaintiff's Attorney

Tyler Hickle, SBN 24069916 Law Office of Tyler Hickle, PLLC 4005C Banister Lane, Ste. 120 Austin, TX 78704

Tel: (512) 289-3831 Fax: (512) 870-9505

tyler@hicklepllc.co